1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

A bill to be entitled An act relating to defamation, false light, and unauthorized publication of name or likenesses; amending s. 90.5015, F.S.; providing that provisions concerning journalist's privilege do not apply to defamation claims when the defendant is a professional journalist or media entity; amending s. 770.05, F.S.; defining the term "defamation or privacy tort"; revising provisions concerning venue for certain actions; amending s. 770.08, F.S.; revising provisions limiting the choice of venue in specified actions; creating s. 770.09, F.S.; exempting certain claims from specified provisions concerning offers of judgment and demands for judgment in civil actions; providing for award of attorney fees and costs to prevailing plaintiffs in such actions; creating s. 770.105, F.S.; specifying that certain persons may not be considered public figures for purposes of certain actions; creating s. 770.11, F.S.; specifying that a fact finder may infer actual malice in certain circumstances; providing that certain allegations are defamatory per se; providing statutory damages to prevailing plaintiffs who are the subject of such allegations; creating s. 770.12, F.S.; creating a presumption that a statement by an anonymous source is

Page 1 of 11

26 presumptively false for purposes of a defamation action; providing requirements if a defendant in a 27 28 defamation action refuses to identify an anonymous 29 source; creating s. 770.13, F.S.; providing that a public figure does not need to show actual malice to 30 31 prevail in a defamation action in certain 32 circumstances; creating s. 770.15, F.S.; providing 33 that a person who gives publicity to a matter 34 concerning a natural person that places that person before the public in a false light may be liable for 35 36 damages in certain circumstances; amending s. 720.304, F.S.; revising a provision on award of attorney fees 37 38 in certain actions by property owners; amending s. 39 768.295, F.S.; revising a provision on award of 40 attorney fees in strategic lawsuits against public 41 participation; providing for severability; providing an effective date. 42 43 44 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 45 46 Section 1. Subsection (9) is added to section 90.5015, 47 Florida Statutes, to read: 48 90.5015 Journalist's privilege.-49 (9) This section does not apply to defamation claims 50 brought under chapter 770 when the defendant is a professional

Page 2 of 11

journalist or media entity.

Section 2. Section 770.05, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

770.05 Limitation of choice of venue.-

- (1) As used in this chapter, the term "defamation or privacy tort" refers to libel, slander, false light, invasion of privacy, or any other tort founded upon any single publication, exhibition, or utterance, such as any one edition of a newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation to an audience, any one broadcast over radio or television, any one exhibition of a motion picture, or any one publication, exhibition, or utterance on the Internet. Editing any form of media so that it attributes something false or leads a reasonable viewer to believe something false about a plaintiff may give rise to a defamation claim or privacy tort.
- (2) A No person may not shall have more than one choice of venue for damages for any defamation or privacy tort libel or slander, invasion of privacy, or any other tort founded upon any single publication, exhibition, or utterance, such as any one edition of a newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation to an audience, any one broadcast over radio or television, or any one exhibition of a motion picture. Recovery in any action shall include all damages for any such tort suffered by the plaintiff in all jurisdictions.
  - (3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter,

Page 3 of 11

or any other statute providing for venue, when:

- (a) Damages for defamation are based on material published through the radio or television, venue is proper in any county where the material was accessed.
- (b) Damages for defamation are based on material published through the Internet, venue is proper in any county in the state.

Section 3. Section 770.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

770.08 Limitation on venue recovery of damages.—Except as provided in s. 770.05(3)(a), a No person may not shall have more than one choice of venue for any defamation or privacy tort damages for libel founded upon a single publication or exhibition or utterance, as described in s. 770.05., and Upon his or her election in any one of his or her choices of venue, then the person shall be bound to recover there all damages allowed him or her.

Section 4. Section 770.09, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

770.09 Application of costs and attorney fees in defamation cases.—The fee-shifting provisions of s. 768.79 do not apply to defamation or privacy tort claims. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a prevailing plaintiff on a defamation or privacy tort claim is entitled to an award of reasonable costs and attorney fees.

Page 4 of 11

101	Section 5. Section 770.105, Florida Statutes, is created
102	to read:
103	770.105 Limitations on judicial determination of a public
L O 4	figureA person may not be considered a public figure for
105	purposes of establishing a defamation or privacy tort claim if
106	his or her fame or notoriety arises solely from one or more of
107	the following:
108	(1) Defending him or herself publicly against accusations.
109	(2) Granting an interview on a specific topic.
110	(3) Public employment other than elected office or
111	appointment by an elected official.
112	(4) A video, image, or statement uploaded on the Internet
113	that has reached a broad audience.
114	Section 6. Section 770.11, Florida Statutes, is created to
115	read:
116	770.11 Clarifying defamation standards
117	(1) A fact finder shall infer actual malice for purposes
118	of a defamation action when:
119	(a) The defamatory allegation is fabricated by the
120	defendant, is the product of his or her imagination, or is based
121	wholly on an unverified anonymous report;
122	(b) An allegation is so inherently implausible that only a
123	reckless person would have put it into circulation; or
124	(c) There are obvious reasons to doubt the veracity of the
125	defamatory allegation or the accuracy of an informant's reports.

Page 5 of 11

CODING: Words  $\frac{\text{stricken}}{\text{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\frac{\text{underlined}}{\text{ore additions}}$ .

126	There are obvious reasons to doubt the veracity of a report
127	when:
128	1. There is sufficient contrary evidence that was known to
129	or should have been known to the defendant after a reasonable
130	investigation; or
131	2. The report is inherently improbable or implausible on
132	its face; or
133	(d) The defendant willfully failed to validate,
134	corroborate, or otherwise verify the defamatory allegation.
135	(2) An allegation that the plaintiff has discriminated
136	against another person or group because of their race, sex,
137	sexual orientation, or gender identity constitutes defamation
138	per se.
139	(a) A defendant cannot prove the truth of an allegation of
140	discrimination with respect to sexual orientation or gender
141	identity by citing a plaintiff's constitutionally protected
142	religious expression or beliefs.
143	(b) A defendant cannot prove the truth of an allegation of
144	discrimination with respect to sexual orientation or gender
145	identity by citing a plaintiff's scientific beliefs.
146	(c) A prevailing plaintiff for allegations under this
147	subsection is, in addition to all other damages, entitled to
148	statutory damages of at least \$35,000.
149	Section 7. Section 770.12, Florida Statutes, is created to

Page 6 of 11

CODING: Words  $\frac{\text{stricken}}{\text{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\frac{\text{underlined}}{\text{ore additions}}$ .

read:

131	//0.12 Presumption regarding anonymous sources.—
152	(1) A statement by an anonymous source is presumptively
153	false for purposes of a defamation action.
154	(2) In a case where a defendant in a defamation action
155	refuses to identify the source of a defamatory statement, the
156	plaintiff need only prove that the defendant acted negligently
157	in making the defamatory statement.
158	Section 8. Section 770.13, Florida Statutes, is created to
159	read:
160	770.13 Actual malice for public figures in defamation
161	casesA public figure does not need to show actual malice to
162	prevail in a defamation cause of action when the allegation does
163	not relate to the reason for his or her public status.
164	Section 9. Section 770.15, Florida Statutes, is created to
165	read:
166	770.15 Invasion of privacy; place person before public in
167	<u>false light</u>
168	(1) Any person who gives publicity to a matter concerning
169	a natural person that places that person before the public in a
170	false light is subject to liability if:
171	(a) The false light in which the person was placed would
172	be highly offensive to a reasonable person; and
173	(b) The defendant had knowledge of or acted in reckless
174	disregard as to the false implications of the publicized matter.

Page 7 of 11

(2) This section incorporates the standards set forth under chapter 770 for defamation causes of action to whatever extent necessary.

(3) Editing any form of media so that it attributes something false or leads a reasonable viewer to believe something false about a plaintiff may give rise to a defamation claim for false light.

Section 10. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 720.304, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

720.304 Right of owners to peaceably assemble; display of flag; SLAPP suits prohibited.—

(4) It is the intent of the Legislature to protect the right of parcel owners to exercise their rights to instruct their representatives and petition for redress of grievances before the various governmental entities of this state as protected by the First Amendment to the United States

Constitution and s. 5, Art. I of the State Constitution. The Legislature recognizes that "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPP" suits, as they are typically called, have occurred when members are sued by individuals, business entities, or governmental entities arising out of a parcel owner's appearance and presentation before a governmental entity on matters related to the homeowners' association. However, it is the public policy of this state that government entities, business organizations, and individuals not engage in SLAPP

suits because such actions are inconsistent with the right of parcel owners to participate in the state's institutions of government. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that prohibiting such lawsuits by governmental entities, business entities, and individuals against parcel owners who address matters concerning their homeowners' association will preserve this fundamental state policy, preserve the constitutional rights of parcel owners, and assure the continuation of representative government in this state. It is the intent of the Legislature that such lawsuits be expeditiously disposed of by the courts.

(c) A parcel owner sued by a governmental entity, business organization, or individual in violation of this section has a right to an expeditious resolution of a claim that the suit is in violation of this section. A parcel owner may petition the court for an order dismissing the action or granting final judgment in favor of that parcel owner. The petitioner may file a motion for summary judgment, together with supplemental affidavits, seeking a determination that the governmental entity's, business organization's, or individual's lawsuit has been brought in violation of this section. The governmental entity, business organization, or individual shall thereafter file its response and any supplemental affidavits. As soon as practicable, the court shall set a hearing on the petitioner's motion, which shall be held at the earliest possible time after

Page 9 of 11

the filing of the governmental entity's, business organization's or individual's response. The court may award the parcel owner sued by the governmental entity, business organization, or individual actual damages arising from the governmental entity's, individual's, or business organization's violation of this section. A court may treble the damages awarded to a prevailing parcel owner and shall state the basis for the treble damages award in its judgment. The court shall award the nonmoving prevailing party reasonable attorney attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with a claim that an action was filed in violation of this section if the nonmoving party prevails on a motion filed under this section.

Section 11. Subsection (4) of section 768.295, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

768.295 Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP) prohibited.—

(4) A person or entity sued by a governmental entity or another person in violation of this section has a right to an expeditious resolution of a claim that the suit is in violation of this section. A person or entity may move the court for an order dismissing the action or granting final judgment in favor of that person or entity. The person or entity may file a motion for summary judgment, together with supplemental affidavits, seeking a determination that the claimant's or governmental entity's lawsuit has been brought in violation of this section.

The claimant or governmental entity shall thereafter file a response and any supplemental affidavits. As soon as practicable, the court shall set a hearing on the motion, which shall be held at the earliest possible time after the filing of the claimant's or governmental entity's response. The court may award, subject to the limitations in s. 768.28, the party sued by a governmental entity actual damages arising from a governmental entity's violation of this section. The court shall award the nonmoving prevailing party reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with a claim that an action was filed in violation of this section if the nonmoving party prevails on a motion filed under this section.

Section 12. If any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2023.